## REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 16, 17, 21, 28, 30, 32 and 34 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,705,202 in view of Wayland. Claims 18-20, 22, 23-27, 29, 31, 33 and 35 were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In response to the informalities noted by the Examiner, the specification and claims 16 and 17 have been amended. In addition, a Terminal Disclaimer is attached. Accordingly, in view of the indication of the allowability of claims 18-20, 22, 23-27, 29, 31, 33 and 35, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims in the present application, as they now stand, patentably distinguish over the references cited and applied by the Examiner and are, therefore, in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN, PLLC

Bv:

John O Holman

Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2201 (202) 638-6666

Date: June 27, 2005 JLS/dmt